REMARKS

Entry of the above amendments and consideration of the following remarks are

respectfully requested.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication that Claims 26, 27, and 34-42 are

allowed.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-25, and 28-33 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second

paragraph, but has indicated that Claims 3, 6-14, 24, and 28-33 would be allowable if

rewritten to overcome the § 112, second paragraph, rejection. Applicant believes that the

foregoing amendments, including its amendment to Independent Claim 1, overcome all of

the Examiner's basis for his § 112, second paragraph, rejection. Applicant has noted the

Examiner's comments concerning allowed apparatus Claim 26 and has amended Claim 1

accordingly. Consequently, Claim 1 is now believed to be in condition for allowance.

Claims 2-25, including those of Claims 2-25 amended to conform with amended Claim 1,

are also believed to be allowable by virtue of Claim 1 being allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits

that all of the claims of this application are now in condition for allowance. Accordingly,

reconsideration with a passage of this application to allowance is respectfully solicited.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney if there are any questions

about this submission or other matters that may be addressed by telephone.

It is believed that no fees are due by virtue of this amendment; however, if any fees

are determined to be due, please charge such fees, but not to include the payment of issue

Trucco; Ser. No. 10/722,989

fees, to Deposit Account No. 23-3030. A separate Request for Extension of Time accompanies this Response.

Respectfully submitted,

Bv:

Scott J Stevens, Reg. No. 29,446

Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty,

McNett & Henry LLP

3700 Bank One Center/Tower 111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700

Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137 (317) 634-3456

Trucco; Ser. No. 10/722,989 RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION 8240-22:311618